

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,981	10/807,981 03/24/2004		Matthias Seufert	11371-21	4468
•	7590	03/14/2006		EXAMINER	
Brinks Hofer	Gilson	& Lione	KENNEDY, JOSHUA T		
P.O. Box 1039	-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cicago, IL 60610				3679	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/807,981	SEUFERT, MA	SEUFERT, MATTHIAS		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Joshua T. Kennedy	3679	1 /1/		

	Joshua 1. Kelilledy	3013	111
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evidence, w n compliance with 37 CFR 41	vhich 1.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN I	ling date of the final rejection.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		1 136(a) and the appropriate out	onsion foo
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriate ex riginally set in the final Office act	xtension fee tion; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two months of	the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS			peal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a br	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered becaus	se.
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		IOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or			sues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment (PTO	L-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		- Karaba Gladi anna dan anti-anti-anti-	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- 		will be entered and an explai	nation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affic	Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be lavit or other evidence is nec	entered essary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fails to	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
	it does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowance b	ecause:
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Pake	r No(s)	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: 	(1 10/0B/00 011 10-1440) 1 apo	David P H	
	12	une 1/2000	ola
			
	4.	DANIEL P. STODOLA	_
	Si	JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE	R

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues. In particular, the addition of the bracket being "rigid" and engaging with the recess "without deformation of an engaging portion of the recess" in Claim 1, Lines 5-6. This would require further search and/or consideration.